



RENATO SMITH
P.O. BOX 1135
CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135

COPY MAILED

NOV 19 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Uwe Rademacher
Application No. 10/769,200
Filed: January 29, 2004
Attorney Docket No. 113378-070

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)¹, filed November 2, 2007 to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned September 22, 2005 for failure to timely reply to the final Office Action mailed June 21, 2005, which set a three month period for response. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed January 13, 2006.

Petitioner has submitted a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and an amendment as the submission required under 37 CFR 1.114.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 3632 for processing of the RCE.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c).